	Case 2:24-cv-00001-WBS-AC Document 3	4 Filed 06/25/24 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	0	
11	00000	
12	PIERRE KORY, M.D., BRYAN TYSON, M.D., LETRINH HOANG, D.O.,	No. 2:24-cv-00001 WBS AC
13	PHYSICIANS FOR INFORMED CONSENT, a not-for-profit	
14	corporation, and CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE, a not-for-	
15	profit corporation,	ORDER RELATING CASES
16	Plaintiffs,	
17	V.	
18	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of	
19	California, REJI VARGHESE, in his official capacity as	
20	Executive Director of the Medical Board of California,	
21	ERIKA CALDERON, in her official capacity as Executive Officer	
22	of the Osteopathic Medical Board of California,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
∠ Ø	1	-

## Case 2:24-cv-00001-WBS-AC Document 34 Filed 06/25/24 Page 2 of 3

No. 2:24-cv-01138 TLN CSK

1

2

KELLY MCCANN, M.D.,

3 Plaintiff, 4 v. 5 RANDY W. HAWKINS, M.D., President Medical Bord of 6 LAURIE ROSE California; LUBIANO, J.D., Vice President Medical Board of California; JAMES M. HEALZER, M.D., 8 Secretary Medical Board of California, MICHELLE ANNE BHOLAT, M.D., MPH; HEMANT DHINGRA, M.D.; NICOLE A. JEONG, 10 J.D.; KRISTINA D. LAWSON, J.D.; ASIF MAHMOOD, M.D.; RICHARD E. 11 THORP, M.D.; VELING TSAI, M.D. ESERICK WATKINS; FELIX C. YIP, 12 M.D., all in their official capacity as officers and 13 appointed members of the California Medical Board; 14 CASSANDRA SAILEY, investigator California Department of 15 Consumer Affairs; JOSEPH VAUGHN, Supervising 16 Investigator II, California Department of Consumer Affairs 17 In their official capacities, 18 Defendants. 19 ----00000----20 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that 2.1 22 they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a), because 23 they each involve constitutional challenges to the power of the California medical boards to investigate and discipline 24 physicians under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 2234 for the provision 25 of COVID-19-related information and/or advice to patients. 26 27 Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is 28 likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort and is 2

## Case 2:24-cv-00001-WBS-AC Document 34 Filed 06/25/24 Page 3 of 3

also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

Kory v. Bonta, 2:24-cv-00001 WBS AC, and McCann v. Hawkins, 2:24-cv-01138 TLN CSK, be, and the same hereby are, deemed related. The case denominated McCann v. Hawkins, 2:24-cv-01138 TLN CSK, shall be reassigned to the Honorable WILLIAM B. SHUBB. Any dates currently set in the reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as McCann v. Hawkins, 2:24-cv-01138 WBS AC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make an appropriate adjustment in the assignment of cases to compensate for this reassignment.

Dated: June 24, 2024

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE